

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/666,793	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MATTHEWS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KimbleAnn Verdi	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) KimbleAnn Verdi

(2) Steven R. Gilliam

**Date of Interview:** 10 March 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Non-Final Issued

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:00 am

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
1-36

Prior art documents discussed:  
n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Invention of claim 1 was discussed with Applicant in attempt to further prosecution in the case. No agreement with respect to claims 1-36 was reached.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/KimbleAnn Verdi/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)